

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/641,591	ROSEDALE, PHILIP	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Thomas H. Stevens	2123	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowance

 (1) Thomas H. Stevens.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Burt Megan.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 May 2006
**Time:** 1:30
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-58

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Office sent applicants copies of the claims which had lack of antecedent basis for discussion and review. Applicants suggested additional changes to the wording of the claims to which the Office later concurred, thus resolving the lack of antecedant basis issue. Prosecution is now closed by way of allowance.